UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,277	07/08/2003	Haruyoshi Ono	030824	7735	
38834 Westerman	7590 01/17/2007 I, HATTORI, DANIELS	EXAMINER			
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			VAN ROY, TOD THOMAS		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			2828		
			-		
		MAIL DATE '	DELIVERY MODE		
			01/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/614,277	ONO ET AL.
Examiner min	Art Unit
Tod T. Van Roy	2828

	Tou I. Vall Ruy	/	2020	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover	sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN	CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an tice of Appeal (wit	amendment, af h appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 			in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corre shortened statutory p than three months a	esponding amount eriod for reply orig	of the fee. The appropr jinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 (CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or			ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appea	l by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		nber of finally re	jected claims.	•
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		Notice of Non-Co	omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		101106 01 11011-01	ompliant / anchament	(1 102 024).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		ed in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			ill be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the d sufficient reason	date of filing a N s why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> evit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> reject y and was not ear	ions under appe ier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of t	he claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place	the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Pape	er No(s)		, ,
			MINSUN OH HAR PRIMARY EXAMI	SVEY

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitations drawn to the operating range of the laser diode would require a new search and further consideration.